



This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-5-94 (copy filed 12-15-94)

☐ This action is **FINAL**

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11, 453 O.G. 213

Disposition of Claims

- ## Application Papers

- Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- *Certified copies not received: _____

- ☐
- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U S C § 119(e)

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of Reference Cited. PTO-892
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s). PTO-1.449. Paper No(s) _____
- ☐ Interview Summary. PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review PTO-948
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application. PTO-152

--SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

Art Unit: 2834

This action is in response to a telephone interview with applicants attorney Thu Dang on 12-22-99 and the preliminary amendment filed September 8, 1999 (copy fixed on 12-15-99).

Group I, claim(s) 1-7 and 14-20 drawn to a piezoelectric resonator.

Group II, claim(s) 8-13 and 21-26 drawn to a method of manufacturing a piezoelectric resonator.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature common to the finished resonator and its method of manufacture.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR1.143).

Budd/ds

01/05/00

DAVID W. BUDD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 212